

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/802,709

Applicant(s)  
Lam et al.

Examiner  
Zohreh Fay

Group Art Unit  
1614



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Zohreh Fay

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Paul Simboli

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Dec 14, 2001

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Telephone message was left to find out if a response was filed to the office action of May 29, 2001.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

ZOHREH FAY  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
GROUP 1200

*Zohreh Fay*

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.